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Scored 2 or above

Percent of all students that scored a 2 or above (competency is passing or above) on PLO 1,2,4 and 5.

## Students with more units completed in the program scored significantly higher on PLO-5.



> Disproportionate Impact

There were no low performing groups.


Courses Involved
 COM-1,9,12, FRE-1, and SPA-1,2,3.


AVERAGE NUMBER OF UNITS COMPLETED IN PROGRAM :

SECTIONS
ASSESSED

## PLO ASSESSMENT SUMMARY

## PROGRAM: AOE Communications, Media and Languages PLO 1

PLO(S) ASSESSED: Analyze college level texts to understand and apply themes and evidence in appropriate communication formats.
COURSES INVOLVED: COM-1.
ASSESSMENT METHOD: Based on the rubric below, rated each student in class on an artifact (test/quiz, project, assignment, etc.) that mapped to above PLO:

O- NO EVIDENCE OF COMPETENCY
1 - VERY LIMITED EVIDENCE OF COMPETENCY, NOT PASSING
2 - EVIDENCE OF COMPETENCY IS LIMITED, BUT PASSING
3 - ADEQUATE EVIDENCE OF COMPETENCY
4 - STRONG EVIDENCE OF COMPETENCY

## BENCHMARK:

- At least $70 \%$ of the advanced group in my program will score 2.0 or above


## SUMMARY OF PROGRAM ASSESSMENT RESULTS

| Total number of students involved in PLO assessment: | 126 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Average number of total units completed: | 17.52 |
| Average number of units completed in program: | 3.58 |
| Percent of all students at 2.0 or above on PLO Assessment: | $84.9 \%$ |


| PLO Score | Frequency | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 13 | $10.3 \%$ |
| 1 | 6 | $4.8 \%$ |
| 2 | 13 | $10.3 \%$ |
| 3 | 47 | $37.3 \%$ |
| 4 | 47 | $37.3 \%$ |

## YOUR PROGRAM WAS DIVIDED INTO 2 GROUPS DEFINED AS FOLLOWS

- GROUP 1—Program Beginners: 3 or less units completed in the program at the beginning of the fall semester.
- GROUP 2—Program (almost) Completers: More than 3 units completed in the program at the beginning of the fall semester.

|  | \% AT OR ABOVE 2 <br> ON PLO | AVERAGE <br> PLO SCORE | TOTAL\# IN <br> GROUP |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GRP 1-PROGRAM BEGINNERS | $84.3 \%$ | 2.76 | 70 |
| GRP 2-PROGRAM (almost) COMPLETERS | $85.7 \%$ | 3.00 | 56 |

Group 2 average PLO assessment score was not significantly higher than Group 1. ( $\mathrm{t}=1.075, \mathrm{p}=.284$ )

## PLO ASSESSMENT SUMMARY

* If a group's average PLO assessment score was significantly higher than the other group, there was less than $5 \%$ probability that this occurred by chance. We are inferring that a significantly higher average PLO assessment score for a group indicates greater mastery of the PLO.

SUMMARY OF PROGRAM ASSESSMENT RESULTS DISAGGREGATED BY ETHNICITY, AGE, \& GENDER

|  |  | \% AT OR <br> ABOVE 2 <br> ON PLO | TOTAL\# IN GROUP | DISPROPORTIONATE IMPACT (Not calculated if less than 20 students in group) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ETHNICITY | African-American | 87.5\% | 16 |  |
|  | Asian | 91.7\% | 12 |  |
|  | Hispanic | 77.6\% | 67 | . 81 |
|  | White | 96.0\% | 25 | 1.00 |
|  | Filipino |  |  |  |
|  | American Indian | 100\% | 1 |  |
|  | Pacific Islander |  |  |  |
|  | Two or more | 100\% | 3 |  |
|  | Unknown | 100\% | 2 |  |
| AGE | 24 and below | 81.4\% | 97 | . 84 |
|  | 25 and above | 96.6\% | 29 | 1.00 |
|  | Unknown |  |  |  |
| GENDER | Female | 81.5\% | 65 | . 92 |
|  | Male | 88.3\% | 60 | 1.00 |
|  | Unknown | 100\% | 1 |  |

*Disproportionately impacted group

## PLO ASSESSMENT SUMMARY

PROGRAM: AOE Communications, Media and Languages PLO 2
PLO(S) ASSESSED: Evaluate purpose and audience to create well-developed, supported, and stylistically fluent responses in written or verbal form.
COURSES INVOLVED: COM-1.
ASSESSMENT METHOD: Based on the rubric below, rated each student in class on an artifact (test/quiz, project, assignment, etc.) that mapped to above PLO:

O- NO EVIDENCE OF COMPETENCY
1 - VERY LIMITED EVIDENCE OF COMPETENCY, NOT PASSING
2 - EVIDENCE OF COMPETENCY IS LIMITED, BUT PASSING
3 - ADEQUATE EVIDENCE OF COMPETENCY
4- STRONG EVIDENCE OF COMPETENCY
BENCHMARK:

- At least 70\% of the advanced group in my program will score 2.0 or above


## SUMMARY OF PROGRAM ASSESSMENT RESULTS

| Total number of students involved in PLO assessment: | 144 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Average number of total units completed: | 18.23 |
| Average number of units completed in program: | 3.75 |
| Percent of all students at 2.0 or above on PLO Assessment: | $89.6 \%$ |


| PLO Score | Frequency | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 13 | $9 \%$ |
| 1 | 2 | $1.4 \%$ |
| 2 | 31 | $21.5 \%$ |
| 3 | 38 | $26.4 \%$ |
| 4 | 60 | $41.7 \%$ |

## YOUR PROGRAM WAS DIVIDED INTO 2 GROUPS DEFINED AS FOLLOWS

- GROUP 1-Program Beginners: 3 or less units completed in the program at the beginning of the fall semester.
- GROUP 2-Program (almost) Completers: More than 3 units completed in the program at the beginning of the fall semester.

|  | \% AT OR ABOVE 2 <br> ON PLO | AVERAGE <br> PLO SCORE | TOTAL\# IN <br> GROUP |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GRP 1-PROGRAM BEGINNERS | $89.2 \%$ | 2.81 | 74 |
| GRP 2-PROGRAM (almost) COMPLETERS | $90.0 \%$ | 3.00 | 70 |

Group 2 average PLO assessment score was not significantly higher than Group 1. ( $\mathrm{t}=.926, \mathrm{p}=.356$ )

## PLO ASSESSMENT SUMMARY

* If a group's average PLO assessment score was significantly higher than the other group, there was less than $5 \%$ probability that this occurred by chance. We are inferring that a significantly higher average PLO assessment score for a group indicates greater mastery of the PLO.

SUMMARY OF PROGRAM ASSESSMENT RESULTS DISAGGREGATED BY ETHNICITY, AGE, \& GENDER

|  |  | \% AT OR <br> ABOVE 2 <br> ON PLO | TOTAL\# IN GROUP | DISPROPORTIONATE IMPACT (Not calculated if less than 20 students in group) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ETHNICITY | African-American | 94.1\% | 17 |  |
|  | Asian | 92.3\% | 13 |  |
|  | Hispanic | 83.5\% | 79 | . 84 |
|  | White | 100\% | 28 | 1.00 |
|  | Filipino |  |  |  |
|  | American Indian | 100\% | 1 |  |
|  | Pacific Islander |  |  |  |
|  | Two or more | 100\% | 4 |  |
|  | Unknown | 100\% | 2 |  |
| AGE | 24 and below | 87.3\% | 110 | . 90 |
|  | 25 and above | 97.1\% | 34 | 1.00 |
|  | Unknown |  |  |  |
| GENDER | Female | 89.5\% | 76 | . 99 |
|  | Male | 90.0\% | 67 | 1.00 |
|  | Unknown | 100\% | 1 |  |

*Disproportionately impacted group

## PLO ASSESSMENT SUMMARY

PROGRAM: AOE Communications, Media and Languages PLO 4
PLO(S) ASSESSED: Recognize and understand the role of nonverbal, verbal, interpersonal, visual, mass media, and cultural indicators inherent in different communication mediums.
COURSES INVOLVED: COM-9 and COM-12.
ASSESSMENT METHOD: Based on the rubric below, rated each student in class on an artifact (test/quiz, project, assignment, etc.) that mapped to above PLO:

O- NO EVIDENCE OF COMPETENCY
1 - VERY LIMITED EVIDENCE OF COMPETENCY, NOT PASSING
2 - EVIDENCE OF COMPETENCY IS LIMITED, BUT PASSING
3 - ADEQUATE EVIDENCE OF COMPETENCY
4 - STRONG EVIDENCE OF COMPETENCY
BENCHMARK:

- At least $70 \%$ of the advanced group in my program will score 2.0 or above


## SUMMARY OF PROGRAM ASSESSMENT RESULTS

| Total number of students involved in PLO assessment: | 200 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Average number of total units completed: | 29.42 |
| Average number of units completed in program: | 4.13 |
| Percent of all students at 2.0 or above on PLO Assessment: | $87.5 \%$ |


| PLO Score | Frequency | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 13 | $6.5 \%$ |
| 1 | 12 | $6.0 \%$ |
| 2 | 34 | $17.0 \%$ |
| 3 | 69 | $34.5 \%$ |
| 4 | 72 | $36.0 \%$ |

## YOUR PROGRAM WAS DIVIDED INTO 2 GROUPS DEFINED AS FOLLOWS

- GROUP 1-Program Beginners: 4 or less units completed in the program at the beginning of the fall semester.
- GROUP 2-Program (almost) Completers: More than 4 units completed in the program at the beginning of the fall semester.

|  | \% AT OR ABOVE 2 <br> ON PLO | AVERAGE <br> PLO SCORE | TOTAL\# IN <br> GROUP |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GRP 1-PROGRAM BEGINNERS | $88.1 \%$ | 2.93 | 126 |
| GRP 2-PROGRAM (almost) COMPLETERS | $86.5 \%$ | 2.78 | 74 |

Group 2 average PLO assessment score was not significantly higher than Group 1. ( $\mathrm{t}=-0.851, \mathrm{p}=.396$ )

## PLO ASSESSMENT SUMMARY

* If a group's average PLO assessment score was significantly higher than the other group, there was less than $5 \%$ probability that this occurred by chance. We are inferring that a significantly higher average PLO assessment score for a group indicates greater mastery of the PLO.

SUMMARY OF PROGRAM ASSESSMENT RESULTS DISAGGREGATED BY ETHNICITY, AGE, \& GENDER

|  |  | \% AT OR ABOVE 2 ON PLO | TOTAL\# IN GROUP | DISPROPORTIONATE IMPACT (Not calculated if less than 20 students in group) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ETHNICITY | African-American | 88.9\% | 9 |  |
|  | Asian | 71.4\% | 14 |  |
|  | Hispanic | 84.9\% | 119 | . 88 |
|  | White | 96.4\% | 55 | 1.00 |
|  | Filipino |  |  |  |
|  | American Indian | 100\% | 1 |  |
|  | Pacific Islander |  |  |  |
|  | Two or more | 100\% | 1 |  |
|  | Unknown | 100\% | 1 |  |
| AGE | 24 and below | 86.8\% | 152 | . 97 |
|  | 25 and above | 89.6\% | 48 | 1.00 |
|  | Unknown |  |  |  |
| GENDER | Female | 89.1\% | 129 | 1.00 |
|  | Male | 85.7\% | 70 | . 96 |
|  | Unknown | 0\% | 1 |  |

*Disproportionately impacted group

## PLO ASSESSMENT SUMMARY

PROGRAM: AOE Communications, Media and Languages PLO 5
PLO(S) ASSESSED: Understand how socioeconomic and cultural factors work in constructing knowledge in different forms of communication.
COURSES INVOLVED: SPA-1, FRE-1, SPA-2 and SPA-3.
ASSESSMENT METHOD: Based on the rubric below, rated each student in class on an artifact (test/quiz, project, assignment, etc.) that mapped to above PLO:

O- NO EVIDENCE OF COMPETENCY
1 - VERY LIMITED EVIDENCE OF COMPETENCY, NOT PASSING
2 - EVIDENCE OF COMPETENCY IS LIMITED, BUT PASSING
3 - ADEQUATE EVIDENCE OF COMPETENCY
4- STRONG EVIDENCE OF COMPETENCY
BENCHMARK:

- At least $70 \%$ of the advanced group in my program will score 2.0 or above


## SUMMARY OF PROGRAM ASSESSMENT RESULTS

| Total number of students involved in PLO assessment: | 204 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Average number of total units completed: | 31.19 |
| Average number of units completed in program: | 5.83 |
| Percent of all students at 2.0 or above on PLO Assessment: | $94.6 \%$ |


| PLO Score | Frequency | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 3 | $1.5 \%$ |
| 1 | 8 | $3.9 \%$ |
| 2 | 24 | $11.8 \%$ |
| 3 | 53 | $26.0 \%$ |
| 4 | 116 | $56.9 \%$ |

## YOUR PROGRAM WAS DIVIDED INTO 2 GROUPS DEFINED AS FOLLOWS

- GROUP 1-Program Beginners: 4 or less units completed in the program at the beginning of the fall semester.
- GROUP 2-Program (almost) Completers: More than 4 units completed in the program at the beginning of the fall semester.

|  | \% AT OR ABOVE 2 <br> ON PLO | AVERAGE <br> PLO SCORE | TOTAL\# IN <br> GROUP |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GRP 1-PROGRAM BEGINNERS | $91.9 \%$ | 3.19 | 101 |
| GRP 2-PROGRAM (almost) COMPLETERS | $98.1 \%$ | 3.47 | 103 |

Group 2 average PLO assessment score was significantly higher than Group 1. ( $\mathrm{t}=2.136, \mathrm{p}<.05$ )

## PLO ASSESSMENT SUMMARY

* If a group's average PLO assessment score was significantly higher than the other group, there was less than $5 \%$ probability that this occurred by chance. We are inferring that a significantly higher average PLO assessment score for a group indicates greater mastery of the PLO.

SUMMARY OF PROGRAM ASSESSMENT RESULTS DISAGGREGATED BY ETHNICITY, AGE, \& GENDER

|  |  | \% AT OR ABOVE 2 ON PLO | TOTAL\# IN GROUP | DISPROPORTIONATE IMPACT (Not calculated if less than 20 students in group) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ETHNICITY | African-American | 91.7\% | 12 |  |
|  | Asian | 100\% | 15 |  |
|  | Hispanic | 93.5\% | 138 | . 94 |
|  | White | 100\% | 32 | 1.00 |
|  | Filipino |  |  |  |
|  | American Indian | 100\% | 1 |  |
|  | Pacific Islander | 100\% | 2 |  |
|  | Two or more | 50.0\% | 2 |  |
|  | Unknown | 100\% | 2 |  |
| AGE | 24 and below | 93.2\% | 146 | . 95 |
|  | 25 and above | 98.3\% | 58 | 1.00 |
|  | Unknown |  |  |  |
| GENDER | Female | 96.3\% | 135 | 1.00 |
|  | Male | 91.2\% | 68 | . 95 |
|  | Unknown | 100\% | 1 |  |

*Disproportionately impacted group

