## SPRING 2018 PLO ASSESSMENT SUMMARY

#### PROGRAM: AOE Communications, Media and Languages PLO 3

PLO(S) ASSESSED: Evaluate and apply appropriate evidence in support of arguments made in different

forms of communication.

COURSES INVOLVED: COM-1, ENG-1B, LIB-1, SPA-8

ASSESSMENT METHOD: Based on the rubric below, rated each student in class on an artifact (test/quiz, project, assignment, etc.) that mapped to above PLO:

## 0- NO EVIDENCE OF COMPETENCY

- 1 VERY LIMITED EVIDENCE OF COMPETENCY, NOT PASSING
- 2 EVIDENCE OF COMPETENCY IS LIMITED, BUT PASSING
- 3 ADEQUATE EVIDENCE OF COMPETENCY
- 4 STRONG EVIDENCE OF COMPETENCY

## BENCHMARK (TO BE COMPLETED BY PROGRAM LEADER OR DESIGNEE):

At least 70% (e.g. 70%) of the advanced group in my program will score 2.0 (e.g. 3.0) or above

#### SUMMARY OF PROGRAM ASSESSMENT RESULTS

Total number of students involved in PLO assessment:	233
Average number of total units completed:	34.58
Average number of units completed in program:	6.82
Percent of all students at 2.0 or above on PLO Assessment:	79.4%

PLO Score	Frequency	Percent
0	34	14.6%
1	14	6.0%
2	24	10.3%
3	77	33.0%
4	84	36.1%

## YOUR PROGRAM WAS DIVIDED INTO 2 GROUPS DEFINED AS FOLLOWS

- GROUP 1—Program Beginners: 4 or less units completed in the program at the beginning of the fall semester.
- GROUP 2—Program (almost) Completers: More than 4 units completed in the program at the beginning of the fall semester.

	% AT OR ABOVE 2 ON PLO	AVERAGE PLO SCORE	TOTAL # IN GROUP
GRP 1-PROGRAM BEGINNERS	72.2%	2.47	108
GRP 2-PROGRAM (almost) COMPLETERS	85.6%	2.90	125

Group 2 average PLO assessment score was significantly higher than Group 1. (t=2.304, p<.05)

# PLO ASSESSMENT SUMMARY

\* If a group's average PLO assessment score was significantly higher than the other group, there was less than 5% probability that this occurred by chance. We are inferring that a significantly higher average PLO assessment score for a group indicates greater mastery of the PLO.

## SUMMARY OF PROGRAM ASSESSMENT RESULTS DISAGGREGATED BY ETHNICITY, AGE, & GENDER

		% AT OR ABOVE 2 ON PLO	TOTAL# IN GROUP	DISPROPORTIONATE IMPACT (Not calculated if less than 20 students in group)
ETHNICITY	African-American	83.9%	31	1.00
	Asian	76.9%	13	N/A
	Hispanic	77.5%	129	.93
	White	81.1%	53	.97
	Filipino			
	American Indian			
	Pacific Islander	100%	1	N/A
	Two or more	100%	3	N/A
	Unknown	66.7%	3	N/A
AGE	24 and below	76.9%	173	.89
	25 and above	86.4%	59	1.00
	Unknown	100%	1	N/A
GENDER	Female	81.1%	127	1.00
	Male	77.0%	100	.95
	Unknown	83.3%	6	N/A

<sup>\*</sup>Disproportionately impacted group