Institutional Strategic Planning Council September 18, 2019 CSS-217 (1:00-3:00pm) Minutes

Members Present: Kris Anderson, Greg Aycock, Melissa Bader (Faculty Co-Chair), Quinton Bemiller, Celia Brockenbrough, Michael Collins, Leona Crawford, Monica Esparza, Monica Green (Administrative Co-Chair), David Mills, Ruth Leal (Staff Co-Chair), Sam Lee, Virgil Lee, Mark Lewis, Arezoo Marashi, Bryan Medina (ASNC Rep.), Chris Poole

Members Absent: Barbara Moore

Guests Present: Andy Aldasoro, Kevin Fleming, Marshall Fulbright, Tricia Hodawanus, Albert Jimenez, Ruth Jones, Colleen Molko, Patty Sanchez, Jody Tyler, Stan Tyler, Caitlin Welch

Call to Order: 1:06pm

Approval of Minutes:

Approval of Minutes for May 15, 2019

MSC (Anderson/Bemiller)

Corrections: none.

Approved. 3 abstentions

Approval of Minutes for September 4, 2019

MSC (Anderson/Bemiller)

Corrections: Item III.B. should read: Kris noted there is a *possible* improvement plan for review of governance process in the ISER.

Approved with correction.

5 abstentions.

I. Action Item:

A. ISPC 2019-2020 Membership

MSC (Medina/Anderson)

Reviewed membership updates to proposed ISPC Membership.

Update ASNC appointments in the fall.

Academic Senate to specify nine faculty positions.

Motion amended to table for the next meeting.

Approved.

B. Joint Resource Allocation Prioritization Process

MSC (Medina/Mills)

The committee reviewed recommended changes highlighted in the handout.

The role of ISPC is to review rubric to ensure they are strategic, confirm that process is followed, and finally acceptance of the work. The committee discussed front loading the process in spring, to prioritize in fall. Noted that the structure of the SSPC council composition has changed and should be reflected in the document. Other requested changes include 1) remove specific number of meetings, and 2) change the wording from

091819 ISPCMM/1

develop rubric criteria, to develop and/or review. The plan is to use rubrics we currently have for fall; these should be brought to ISPC at the next meeting.

Approved.

1 abstention

C. Recommendation to establish a Rapid Resource Review Board (RRRB)

MSC Motion to table (Poole/Crawford)

Approved.

No discussion.

3 abstentions

II. Committee Reports

A. None

III. Information Items:

A. Open Dialogue Themes

Three themes emerged: values (collegiality, inclusiveness, equity, etc.), committee guidelines, and communications. The tri-chairs will begin working with these themes and bring themes back to the council in the fall.

B. District Strategic Plan

Revisions are not out yet, the latest copy was published August 20th. We expect that we will receive a copy which will be sent via Nor-all for review and brought to ISCP for a vote in October. The timeline will continue on to DSPC October 11 at a special meeting, then on to Board of Trustees in November.

C. Fall 2019 ISPC Retreat Planning - Friday, October 25, 9:00 am – 3:00 pm Save the Date. Looking for venues near-by a calendar invitation to save the date will be sent to the committee. Harvest Festival is also scheduled for this day.

D. Resource Request Procedure

Presented in March of 2019, no action was taken, there were suggestions for changes but confusion around intent of the document. Dr. Collins clarified that this was a jumping off point produced to show the process for resource requests and the steps we take through the processing and budgeting of requests. The committee reviewed the document in its original form before the suggested changes were made.

The question was raised about how this is different than what we are currently doing. The response is that it is not, this is how the process is running through the current resources allocation sub-committees as it is described in program review. The intent of this is not to change the process but to describe it. Discussed examples of funding requests that come outside of program review and transparent budgeting reporting process to explain how these outside items are funded. Dr. Collins reminded the committee that the budget is reviewed at every BFPC meeting.

A workgroup was convened, led by Dr. Lee and Dr. Collins, to rework the document to better explain the process. (Lee, Collins, Quinton, Gray, Student Rep., Marashi) 091819 ISPCMM/2

A progress report should be presented at the next meeting in October.

E. Grant Committee Report

Colleen reviewed the following grant proposals.

- CCC Fund for Student Success
- eSports Ecosystem position Coordinator and PT Staff have been updated to (OT) opportunity, short term. CSEA request a process for the OT opportunity.
- ATE Proposal in Support of Dual Enrollment, recommend including IR support. Including of positions need to be directly related to the program, must know what will be funded and what will not.
- Manufacturing for the Future
- Title V

Committee discussed process for grants, more information is needed to make decisions and consideration of release time for faculty. Colleen reviewed the current grant vetting process, awards, and allocations.

IV. Good of the order

Meeting adjourned: 3:03pm

Next meeting October 2, 2019

Minutes submitted by Denise Terrazas

ISPC Membership 2019-2020 DRAFT

Academic Senate President 6 Faculty Representatives Chair of Chairs Administrators* Faculty Rep for District Enrollment Mgmt Classified Staff Representatives ASNC Student Representative

Faculty	Staff	Admin	Student	Total
1				
6				
1				
		5		
1				
	5			
			1	
9	5	5	1	20

DRAFT

*One Administrator appointed in Academic Affairs, Student Services, Business Services, Strategic Development, and one at-large administrator. The president serves as a tri-chair, non-voting member.

Faculty appointments will be made by the Academic Senate in late spring.

Staff appointments will be made by CSEA in the summer.

Management appointments will be made by the President's Exec Cabinet in the summer.

Student appointment will be made by ASNC in the summer.

ISPC members will serve a three year term. Terms will rotate to ensure stability.

Quorum is 11 voting members. Faculty and Staff professional co-chairs do not vote unless there is a tie.

Institutional Strategic Planning Council:

The ISPC is the main coordinating body for all strategic planning at Norco College. The council members should represent constituency groups across the college, facilitate communication among the representative constituency group, and make recommendations to the college president after receiving institution-wide input. The ISPC's purpose is to ensure that all phases of planning and resource allocation at the college emanate from program review, have improvement of student learning as the highest priority, and are driven by the college mission and the educational master plan.

Presented to ISPC 9/18/19

19-20 ISPC Members DRAFT

3 Year Terms

			3 Year	Terms	
	Committee Membership Composition	Name	Term Began	Term Ends	Years Served
1	Academic Senate President	Quinton Bemiller	19FAL	22SPR	0
2	Faculty Representative 1	Kris Anderson	16FAL	20SPR	3
3	Faculty Representative 2	Celia Brockenbrough	11FAL	20SPR	8
4	Faculty Representative 3	Mark Lewis	11FAL	20SPR	8
5	Faculty Representative 4	Barbara Moore	14FAL	20SPR	5
6	Faculty Representative 5	Mitzi Slonger	16FAL	20SPR	3
7	Faculty Representative 6	Jim Thomas	12FAL	20SPR	7
8	Chair of Chairs	Melissa Bader*	11FAL/Chair 14FAL	20SPR	8 Chair for 5
9	Faculty Rep for District Enrollment Management	Melissa Bader	11FAL	20SPR	8
10	Administrative Representative 1/AA	Samuel Lee	17FAL	20SPR	2
11	Administrative Representative 2/BS	Michael Collins	18FAL	21SPR	1
12	Administrative Representative 3/SS	Kaneesha Tarrant	18FAL	21SPR	1
13	Administrative Representative 4/SD	TBD	19FAL	22SPR	0
14	Administrative Representative 5	Greg Aycock	11FAL	20SPR	8
15	Classified Staff Representative 1	Leona Crawford	16FAL	20SPR	4
16	Classified Staff Representative 2	Monica Esparza	16FAL	20SPR	3
17	Classified Staff Representative 3	Ruth Leal*	12FAL/Chair 13FAL	20SPR	7 Chair for 6
18	Classified Staff Representative 4	Arezoo Marashi	19FAL	22SPR	0
19	Classified Staff Representative 5	Chris Poole	16SPR	20SPR	3
20	ASNC Student Reprentative	Sarah Gadalla (?)			
+1	President (tri-chair, non-voting)	Monica Green			

Joint Resource Allocation Prioritization Process

Academic Affairs Resource Allocation Process

Each fall: Establish an academic affairs prioritization sub-committee that will prioritize resource requests in instructional and administrative program reviews from academic affairs. The sub-committee will create a ranking criteria that is applicable to the resource requests submitted in academic affairs program reviews. It will send technology requests to the Technology Committee for prioritization. Faculty positions will be prioritized by the Academic Planning Council. The sub-committee will prioritize a list for staff and a separate list for equipment.

Membership – To be comprised of staff, faculty and administrators from within the academic affairs unit, and chaired by the Vice President, Academic Affairs. Suggested membership includes:

- 2 department chairs (including 1 CTE chair)
- 1 faculty member from Professional Development Committee
- 1 Senate representative
- 2-3 administrators
- 4 classified staff (designated by CSEA)
- Chair VPAA

Meeting Schedule – Three meetings to be held in the fall term

Rubric Criteria – To be developed by Prioritization Sub-Committee

Student Services Resource Allocation Process

Each fall: Student Services will prioritize resource requests from the Administrative Unit Program Review into the ranking process of the all other Student Services resource requests completed by the Student Services Planning Council. The sub-committee will create a ranking criteria that is applicable to the resource requests submitted in student services program reviews. It will send technology requests to the Technology Committee for prioritization. The sub-committee will prioritize a list for staff and a separate list for equipment.

Membership: All Student Services resource requests submitted through the Student Services Program Review process and the Administration Unit Program Review process will be ranked by the Student Services Planning Council. The prioritization committee composition representing all 21 areas of student services includes:

- 2 faculty
- 7 staff

- 9 administrators
- 1 student
- Chair VPSS

Meeting Schedule/Rubric Criteria - The ranking process will occur during regularly scheduled meetings using ranking criteria approved annually by the Student Services Planning Council.

Business Services Resource Allocation Process

Each fall: Establish a BFPC prioritization sub-committee that will prioritize resource requests of administrative program reviews from business services. The sub-committee will use a ranking criteria that is applicable to the resource requests submitted in business services program reviews. It will send technology requests to the Technology Committee for prioritization. The sub-committee will prioritize a list for staff and a separate list for equipment.

Membership – To be comprised of staff and administrators from within business services, and chaired by the Vice President, Business Services. Suggested membership includes:

- 2-3 business services administrators
- 4 classified staff (designated by CSEA)
- 2 Faculty
- Chair VPBS

Meeting Schedule – Three meetings to be held in the fall term

Rubric Criteria – To be developed by Prioritization Sub-Committee

President's Office and Strategic Development Resource Allocation Process

Each fall: All resource requests submitted through the President's Office and Strategic Development Program Review process will be submitted ranked by the division and submitted to ISPC.

Membership – To be comprised of all staff and administrators from within the President's Office and Strategic Development respectively.

Meeting Schedule – One meeting to be held in the fall term

Rubric Criteria – To be developed by the divisions.

Prioritization Lists to ISPC

Student Services Planning Council, Business & Facilities Planning Council, Academic Affairs Prioritization Subcommittee, Academic Planning Council, President's Office, Strategic Development, and the Technology Committee will submit their prioritized lists to ISPC for approval. ISPC will vote to accept the lists as submitted by the respective council/committee/division. Once approved, the lists will be forwarded to the President for funding determination.

Rubric Criteria – Annually ISPC will review the rubric provided by each council/committee in the spring to ensure alignment with the Educational Master Plan and Strategic Plan.



NORCO COLLEGE GRANTS APPROVAL PROCESS 2019

Preamble

Now that Norco College has established a Strategic Development Unit with its own Grants Development Office, the current process of taking grant application requests through both the Grants Committee and the Institutional Strategic Planning Council is no longer meeting the needs of the College. In order to secure approvals within the timeframe necessary for the College to develop well-written, competitive grant proposals, this new Grants Approval Process is being proposed.

Rapid Resource Review Board

Given the number of grant applications we are submitting and the increasingly short deadlines given by funding agencies, the process of going through the Grants Committee and subsequently, the Institutional Strategic Planning Council for approval is not meeting the needs of the College. This new process establishes a Rapid Resource Review Board (RRRB) to address all grant request approvals, much in the same way that an Institutional Research Board addresses the needs of research projects. Since grant applications must include the staff that will be hired and/or assigned to the grant, RRRB approval will also give the individual managing the grant authority to hire new positions or reassign staff as specified in the grant application.

RRRB membership will be comprised of all individuals who are currently voting members of the Grants Committee. Other individuals who are interested in serving on the RRRB will be given consideration, but in no case will the membership exceed 15 individuals. RRRB members will be eligible to vote on every item that is sent to the RRRB, however, there will not be an obligation to do so as there will be times when individuals will not be on duty, such as faculty outside of a primary term. In order to accommodate these individuals and provide them flexibility, quorum will be defined as all members voting on an item within the given review timeframe (not the total of individuals eligible to vote). Items that receive half plus one of quorum as defined above and on which a minimum of five members have voted will be considered approved. In instances where voting results in a tie, the College President will cast the tie-breaking vote. In order to ensure that all grant requests receive due consideration, any member who does not cast a single vote over a four month period will no longer serve as a member of the RRRB.

Turnaround times may vary, dependent upon the proximity of grant submission deadline, but a minimum of five business days will be given. A system will be established to support a virtual review and voting process. This will accommodate members whose schedules make it difficult to participate in face-to-face meetings or to complete the review process during specified hours. Grant information will be provided on a template that will, at a minimum, address 1) alignment with Norco College's strategic plan, 2) staff that will be included in the grant, 3) space considerations, 4) match requirements (which may or may not involve general fund) and 5) commitments that will be made in regard to institutionalization of personnel. In order to provide an approval process that accommodates the unique work of applying for private foundation grants, the RRRB will also review and vote on concept approval requests. These requests are distinctly different in that much will not be known about them at the time approval is requested, however, this will enable the college to move forward and not lose an opportunity if a funding offer is made and/or the College is invited to submit a full application. In such cases, additional information will be provided to the RRRB as it becomes available. Likewise, a

representative of the RRRB will provide periodic reports to the Institutional Strategic Planning Council, to inform them of the ongoing work of the RRRB. RRRB may schedule face-to-face meetings, as the membership deems necessary or helpful to its work.

Alternate Approval Process

Although the establishment of a RRRB should address the vast majority of grant application requests, there are sure to be rare instances where funding opportunities arise with such imminent deadlines that the new grants approval process will not accommodate them. In such instances, the Strategic Development Office will seek Presidential approval. Approvals of this type will be kept to an absolute minimum and when they are given, the President will send a communication to the RRRB to inform them of the particulars of the funding opportunity and his decision.





Resource Request Procedure (RRP) - FY 2019/20

A Resource Request is a request for human or physical resources or a request for a budget augmentation (ongoing or one-time). Resource Requests should not include faculty positions nor currently budgeted on-going operational needs (e.g., annual instructional supplies).

Program Review at Norco College is on a three-year cycle, with all units undertaking comprehensive Program Review in 2017. Each subsequent year, annual goals are updated as needed, and college units submit Resource Requests related to program goals, in alignment with the College mission, strategic plan, budget priorities and intended outcomes. This planning starts in March of every year to ensure appropriate connection and timing related to college budget processes and institutional planning needs.

- 1. College Budget priorities for FY 2019/20 are discussed and determined by BFPC (February 2019)
- 2. The Resource Request process is initiated in program review by area managers. (March 2019)
 - Requests include items identified and justified in program review:

 a. Items not funded in the previous year (these are rolled over if not funded)
 - a. Items not funded in the previous year (these are roned over it not funded)
 - b. New items that were not listed in program review but are needed now to achieve outcomes.
 - c. Items considered outside of normal operating needs (e.g., new furniture, software, instructional supplies, instructional equipment, facilities needs and non-faculty personnel).
- 3. Area managers work with faculty and staff to prioritize Resource Requests each year. (Due May 15, 2019).
 - Full-time faculty requests follow the Academic Planning Council process.
 - The requests need to be prioritized by the program areas, including direct ties to college mission, strategic plan, budget priorities and intended outcomes.
 - Area managers identify top priorities for their areas.
- 4. Area vice presidents reviews prioritized list with respective departments/divisions and communicate the availability of possible funding. Some requests might be funded by grants or categorical funds. (August 30 2019)
- 5. Area vice presidents present prioritized Resource Request for their entire area to the appropriate planning council (BFPC, SSPC, and AAPC) for discussion. (September, 2019)
- 6. Area Vice Presidents present Planning Council funding priorities to President's Cabinet for analysis in accordance with strategic plan. (September, 2019)
- 7. Business Services begins allocation of funds for prioritized items based on funding availability, provides GL accounts for funded items and provides rationale for unfunded items. (October-November, 2019)

Rationale for Unfunded Items:

- 1. Request not related to College Mission
- 2. Request not related to Strategic Plan
- 3. Request does not meet budget priorities
- 4. Request not related to intended outcomes
- 5. Funding not available/Insufficient funding
- 6. Low priority request
- 7. Not enough information provided.
- 8. Area leaders work with faculty and staff to process purchases of funded Resource Requests. (November 2019-April 2020)
- 9. Area leaders ensure the measurement of the intended outcome related to the resource allocation request is undertaken. Results are documented in program review every three years. (When, In July after the fiscal year ends or February before a new program review cycle begins?)
- 10. Annual institution wide evaluation of effectiveness of the Resource Request Procedures (RRP) takes place, results are analyzed to enable continuous improvement. (*November 2019*)
- 11. Area VP reviews unfunded Resource Requests for FY 19/20 and funds additional requests according to priority previously established. (If additional funding exist). (February 2020)

GRANT PROPOSAL SUBMITTAL FORM

	GRANT PROPOSAL DETAILS
Name of Proposal Initiator	Mark DeAsis
Email Address	Mark.DeAsis@NorcoCollege.Edu
Phone Number	951—372-7014
Department	Admissions & Records in Collaboration with JFK Middle College HS
Name of proposal	Fund for Student Success (2019-20 RENEWAL)
Please provide a brief description or an abstract of this proposal.	The grant provides an opportunity to strengthen the existing partnership between Norco College and JFK Middle College High School by continuing efforts to support on-site counseling and follow-up services, community outreach, and enrollment. Through collaboration, the grant will provide academic advising opportunities in an effort to increase completion of degrees and certificates. Data on success, enrollment, completion rates, and interventions will be tracked regularly.
Funding Agency	California Community College Chancellor's Office-Academic Affairs
Amount to be Requested (annually)	\$100,000 (year 1), \$100,000 (year 2), \$100,000 (year 3)
Grant duration (years)	1 year (2017-2018), 2 nd year (2018-2019), 3 rd year (2019-2020)
Grant start and end dates	July 1, 2017- June 30, 2020
Grant due date	Renewal Due Date June 3, 2019
List grant objective(s)	Establishment of a Middle College High School program on a community college campus Demonstrate effectiveness in recruiting at-risk students into the MCHS Program Collaboration between high school district and community college district for the
	development of outreach and support services 4. Develop enrollment practices that would support completion of high school diploma and courses applicable towards a degree, certificate or transfer. 5. Enhance students' college career options
List grant activities and/or requirements by the funding agency (i.e. services to be provided, curriculum development, activities, etc.)	Counseling through formulation of student education plans and follow up Outreach and recruitment of at-risk students Application, registration, and orientation workshops
List titles of personnel that will be needed if the grant is funded. Please note if positions are existing or new.	1. Mark DeAsis, Project Director (25% time and effort, in-kind by RCCD) 2. Maureen Sinclair, Director of Dual Enrollment (100% time and effort, in-kind by RCCD) 3. Lisa Martin, Counselor (25% time and effort, in-kind by SSSP) 4. Enrollment Services Assistant (48.5% grant funds) 5. Daniel Landin, Educational Advisor, (100% time and effort, grant funded) 6. Institutional Research (48.5% grant funds)
List office space needs and/or use of campus facilities necessary for implementation of this grant	No additional Space needed
List NC Strategic Initiatives that this proposal addresses:	Strategic Plan and Process Goal 4: Create Effective Community Partnerships Objective 5: Continue the success of John F. Kennedy Middle College High school Partnership
Which group(s) will benefit from this grant and how? (high school students, college students, faculty, staff, other)	This grant primarily benefits JFK Middle College High School Students. Students will receive dedicated advisement and support to improve course completion and success.
Does this grant require partners? If yes, please provide details.	This grant is in collaboration with JFK Middle College High School.

GRANT PROPOSAL SUBMITTAL FORM

Does the grant have a dollar match	Yes, a 50/50 match is required. This requirement is being met with in-kind	
requirement? If yes, please provide details.	contributions from Norco College and JFK Middle College High School and does	
It is necessary to obtain approval	not require additional funding from the college. Not applicable.	
from any academic/student services	Постаррії саріє.	
department for the implementation		
of proposed activities? If yes, have		
these approvals been obtained?		
What are the short-term and/or long-	There are no long-term obligations. The only obligation is the 50/50 match for the	
term requirements/obligations for	duration of the grant period(s).	
the college if this proposal is funded?		
Who will prepare the proposal on	Maureen Sinclair	
behalf of the college?		
Is it necessary to hire grant	Not Applicable	
consultants to prepare the proposal?		
If yes, provide a cost estimate.		
Will it be necessary to reassign	Not Applicable.	
existing faculty/staff to implement		
this grant? If yes, have you obtained		
the necessary approvals?	D APPROVAL BY STRATEGIC PLANNING COMMITTEES	
Grants Committee Review Date	Committee Recommendation	
Grants committee Review Date	Commence Recommendation	
Review Date:		
A	Co-Chair:	
Approvals:		
	Co-Chair: Committee Recommendation	
ISPC Review Date	Committee Recommendation	
Bariana Bata		
Review Date:	Co Chair	
	Co-Chair	
	Co-Chair	
Approvals:	Co-Citali	
	Co-Chair	

GRANT P	ROPOSAL INFORMATION
Proposal Initiator	Dr. Kevin Fleming
Email Address	Kevin Fleming Kevin.fleming@norcocollege.edu
Phone Number	951-739-7880
Department	
Proposal Name/ Title	Strategic Development
Please provide a brief description or an	eSports Ecosystem
abstract of this proposal.	Funding support for the contextualization of
abstract of this proposal.	curriculum (for eSports) and the expansion of Norco College's eSports initiative. The initiative is
	intended to create a 2+2+2 pipeline for
	STEM/engineering by increasing interest and
Funding Agency/ Source	retention in STEM/engineering programs. Samueli Foundation
Annual Funding	N/A
Total Funding	\$100,000+
Grant Duration (start and end dates)	TBD – We will request two years
Proposal Due Date	June 7, 2019
List grant Objectives	1) Contextualization of curriculum (for
	eSports)
	2) Expansion of Norco College's eSports
	initiative
List grant activities and/or requirements by the funding agency (i.e. services to	1) Program/event coordination for eSports
be provided, curriculum development,	2) Establishment of and support for eSports
activities, etc.)	team
	3) High school outreach
	4) Professional development for students and
	staff 5) Infractive faccibility study
What are the short term, and/or long	5) Infrastructure feasibility study
What are the short-term, and/or long- term requirements/obligations for the	Short term: Hiring of new part-time eSports
college if this proposal is funded?	Coordinator (classified position). Long term is the
contest to the property of the contest of the conte	adoption of contextualized curriculum.
List titles of personnel needed to	eSports Coordinator (new position) and Dean of
implement the grant and note if the	Student Life to provide leadership.
positions are new or existing.	-
Will it be a consequent assessment assessment	NT.
Will it be necessary to reassign existing faculty/staff to implement this grant? If	No.
yes, have you obtained approvals?	
Describe how many workstations, office	One new workstation in Student Life.
space, and/or facilities will be needed to	
implementation this grant?	
List college and for district strategie	EMD gools
List college and/or district strategic Initiatives that this proposal addresses.	EMP goals:
militarios triat trio proposar dadresses.	2 - Implement Guided Pathways framework

Who will benefit from this grant if funded and how? (College students, faculty, staff, administrators, high	8 – Become the regional college of choice by offering a comprehensive range of programs that prepare students for the future and meet employer workforce needs Norco College students (including Dual Enrollment students)
Does this grant require community or industry partners? If yes, list partner(s).	Yes, UC Irvine, Riverside County Office of Education, North American Scholastic eSports Federation.
Is there a dollar match requirement? If yes, provide details.	No.
Is it necessary to seek approval from academic/student services departments to implement the proposed activities? If yes, have you obtained the necessary approvals?	Yes, yes.
What information is needed from Institutional Research and Effectiveness to complete the proposal?	N/A
If approved, who will develop the proposal?	Dr. Kevin Fleming, Colleen Molko, Dr. Lee, Dr. Hartley, Ana Molina, Roshan Uma (student, eSports club president).
Will it be necessary to hire grant consultants to prepare this proposal? If yes, provide a cost estimate.	No.
COM	MITTEE APPROVAL
Date:	
Approvals:	Co-Chair:
	Co-Chair: ISPC APPROVAL
Date:	ISI C AI I NO VAL
Approvals:	Tri-Chair: Tri-Chair:

Tri-Chair:

GRANT PROPOSAL INFORMATION		
Proposal Initiator	Dr. Maureen Sinclair	
Email Address	Maureen.sinclair@norcocollege.edu	
Phone Number	738-7746	
Department	Student Services	
Proposal Name/ Title	Dual Enrollment in STEM	
Please provide a brief description or an abstract of this proposal.	A resubmission, this proposal would support our current dual enrollment efforts by emphasizing STEM offerings.	
Funding Agency/ Source	National Science Foundation	
Annual Funding	\$200,000	
Total Funding	\$600,000	
Grant Duration (start and end dates)	October 1, 2020 – September 30, 2023	
Proposal Due Date	October 3, 2019	
List grant Objectives	 Utilize Norco College's established non-STEM dual enrollment model to establish a dual enrollment in STEM program Disseminate Norco College's successful Dual Enrollment in STEM model 	
List grant activities and/or requirements by the funding agency (i.e. services to be provided, curriculum development, activities, etc.)	 1.1 Secure all necessary approvals for College and Career Access Pathways (CCAP) agreements that govern the structure and procedures for all dual enrollment programs in California 1.2 Work with faculty and administrators at Norco College and each respective high school site to identify most viable STEM pathway programs and course offerings 1.3 Develop a strategic implementation plan with timelines for the project 1.4 Implement the strategic project plan and target student recruitment activities to correspond with plan targets and to emphasize underrepresented populations 1.5 Provide students in the project with guidance and support services to ensure retention and completion of both certificate and associate degree attainment in each student's respective STEM pathway 2.1 Identify conferences and events attended by community and technical colleges 2.2 Make presentations at targeted conferences and events to share the program model and successful implementation strategies 	

	2.3 Provide sample CCAP agreements, outreach materials and other project deliverables
What are the short-term, and/or long-term requirements/obligations for the college if this proposal is funded?	Implementation of the proposal activities as proposed and maintaining STEM offerings in our dual enrollment program after the grant ends.
List titles of personnel needed to implement the grant and note if the positions are new or existing.	The budget has not been finalized, but it is anticipated that the following items will be included: Faculty time for sections that are low enrolled, PI @ 25%, Outreach Passport to College Coordinator @ 50%.
Will it be necessary to reassign existing faculty/staff to implement this grant? If yes, have you obtained approvals?	Dr. Sinclair and her staff are already doing this work.
Describe how many workstations, office space, and/or facilities will be needed to implementation this grant?	May require one workstation that was previously utilized by CCPT staff that resigned.
List college and/or district strategic Initiatives that this proposal addresses.	This project supports our Dual Enrollment initiative.
Who will benefit from this grant if funded and how? (College students, faculty, staff, administrators, high school students, other)	High school students in our service area would benefit greatly by being able to take college courses in the STEM areas at their respective local high schools. Norco College will benefit through the development of a pipeline into our STEM programs, increased enrollment in STEM courses and the resulting increase in FTE funding that would result.
Does this grant require community or industry partners? If yes, list partner(s).	Yes, the grant would require partnerships with the participating high schools.
Is there a dollar match requirement? If yes, provide details.	There is no match requirement in this grant.
Is it necessary to seek approval from academic/student services departments to implement the proposed activities? If yes, have you obtained the necessary approvals?	Drs. Green, Sinclair and Parks are all in support of this proposal.
What information is needed from Institutional Research and Effectiveness to complete the proposal?	It is not anticipated that data from IR&E will be needed.

If approved, who will develop the proposal?	The District Grants Office along with Dr. Sinclair and Colleen Molko.	
Will it be necessary to hire grant consultants to prepare this proposal? If yes, provide a cost estimate.	No consultants will be utilized.	
COM	IMITTEE APPROVAL	
Date:		
Approvals:	Co-Chair:	
	Co-Chair:	
	ISPC APPROVAL	
Date:		
	Tri-Chair:	
Approvals:	Tri-Chair:	
	Tri-Chair:	

GRANT PROPOSAL INFORMATION		
Proposal Initiator	Colleen Molko	
Email Address	Colleen.molko@norcocollege.edu	
Phone Number	739-7808	
Department	Strategic Development	
Proposal Name/ Title	Partner to University of Louisville in their	
	Manufacturing for the Future National Center	
	application	
Please provide a brief description or an	Support implementation of the project by connecting	
abstract of this proposal.	U of L with National Center for Supply Chain	
	Automation education and industry contacts,	
	convening a regional workshop to identify emerging	
	manufacturing trends and sharing information	
	gleaned from all workshops with partners and	
	through contributing and reviewing curriculum and	
	instructional materials.	
Funding Agency/ Source	National Science Foundation	
Annual Funding	varies	
Total Funding	\$1,495,963	
Grant Duration (start and end dates)	10/1/20 – 9/30/25	
Proposal Due Date	10/3/19	
List grant Objectives	Pertains to lead applicant, U of L	
List grant activities and/or requirements	Support implementation of the project by connecting	
by the funding agency (i.e. services to	U of L with National Center for Supply Chain	
be provided, curriculum development, activities, etc.)	Automation education and industry contacts,	
detivities, etc.,	convening a regional workshop to identify emerging	
	manufacturing trends and sharing information	
	gleaned from all workshops with partners and	
	through contributing and reviewing curriculum and	
M	instructional materials.	
What are the short-term, and/or long- term requirements/obligations for the	Only to provide support to U of L in implementation	
college if this proposal is funded?	as described above.	
conege ii uno proposario tanaca.		
List titles of personnel needed to	SCA Principal Investigator (existing)	
implement the grant and note if the		
positions are new or existing.		
Will it be necessary to reassign existing	Yes, SCA PI would be assigned to this project at	
faculty/staff to implement this grant? If	20%	
yes, have you obtained approvals?	2070	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
Describe how many workstations, office	No new workstations	
space, and/or facilities will be needed to		
implementation this grant?		

List college and/or district strategic Initiatives that this proposal addresses.	Goal 6: (Workforce and Economic Development) Reduce working poverty and the skills gap Goal 7: (Community Development) Host initiatives that impact regional development	
Who will benefit from this grant if funded and how? (College students, faculty, staff, administrators, high school students, other)	Potential supply chain technicians across the U.S. who will, as a result of this work, secure a living wage job.	
Does this grant require community or industry partners? If yes, list partner(s).	Yes, existing SCA partners.	
Is there a dollar match requirement? If yes, provide details.	No match	
Is it necessary to seek approval from academic/student services departments to implement the proposed activities? If yes, have you obtained the necessary approvals?	N/A – this is not a college-based project	
What information is needed from Institutional Research and Effectiveness to complete the proposal?	None	
If approved, who will develop the proposal?	University of Louisville, SCA has contributed its respective content	
Will it be necessary to hire grant consultants to prepare this proposal? If yes, provide a cost estimate.	No	
COM	MITTEE APPROVAL	
Date:		
Approvals:	Co-Chair:	
	ISPC APPROVAL	
Date:		
Duit.	Tri-Chair:	
Approvals:	Tri-Chair:	
	Tri-Chair:	

GRANT PROPOSAL INFORMATION			
Proposal Initiator	Dr. Kaneesha Tarrant, Dr. Tenisha James, Colleen		
·	Molko		
Email Address	various		
Phone Number	various		
Department	Student Services, Strategic Development		
Proposal Name/ Title			
Troposar Name, Title	Project PACES: Pathways to Access, Completion, Equity and Success		
Please provide a brief description or an	Project PACES will:		
abstract of this proposal.	1) Increase Hispanic and low-income retention and		
• •	graduation by implementing Guided Pathways that		
	includes the establishment of meta-majors,		
	restructured student success teams, and clear		
	pathways to careers and further education.		
	2) Increase Hispanic and low-income enrollment and		
	access through college-wide coordination of equity-		
	related programs; and		
	3) Implement professional development around		
	Guided Pathways and an Equity framework to foster		
	a culture of ongoing improvement.		
Funding Agency/ Source	U.S. Department of Education		
Annual Funding	varies		
Total Funding	\$2,754,383		
Grant Duration (start and end dates)	10/1/19 – 9/30/24		
Proposal Due Date	7/15/19		
List grant Objectives	See attachment at end of document		
List grant activities and/or requirements	1) Implement a Guided Pathways model with		
by the funding agency (i.e. services to	intuitive onboarding and strong student		
be provided, curriculum development,	support services to improve persistence,		
activities, etc.)	completion and transfer rates for Latinx and		
	low-income students		
	2) Improve college-wide coordination of		
	equity-related programs to increase		
	enrollment and access to educational		
	opportunities for Latinx and other		
	underrepresented students		
	3) Implement professional development around		
	Guided Pathways and an Equity framework		
	to foster a culture of ongoing improvement.		
What are the short-term, and/or long-	Sustain the institutional change that is achieved		
term requirements/obligations for the	through implementation of the grant; assign the		
college if this proposal is funded?	Dean of Student Services to implement activity 1 @		
	33% of her time (Guided Pathways implementation);		
	assignthe Dean of Grants and Student Equity to		
	implement activity 3 (Guided Pathways Professional		

List titles of personnel needed to implement the grant and note if the positions are new or existing.	Development) @ 25%; and institutionalize the new Educational Advisor beginning in year 4 (25%), increasing to 50% in year 5, and 100% after the grant ends. Dean of Student Services @ 33% Dean of Grants and Student Equity @ 25% Activities Coordinator @ 100% (new, grant funded) Ed Advisor @ 100% in years 1-3, 75% in year 4 and 50% in year 5 (new, grant funded)	
	Marketing and Media Technician @ 25% years 1-5 Faculty time for activities that include: completing program maps/pathway trailheads, hosting engagement centers, populating college-specific information into EduNav, participating in professional development (including equity based pedagogy training and training on implementing the four Guided Pathways pillars), conducting customized research on careers, assessing pedagogical approaches via evaluating disagregated data, and other necessary program-related activities Grants Admin Specialist @ 50% (new, grant funded)	
Will it be necessary to reassign existing faculty/staff to implement this grant? If yes, have you obtained approvals?	Yes, as indicated above	
Describe how many workstations, office space, and/or facilities will be needed to implementation this grant?	Three new workstations	
List college and/or district strategic Initiatives that this proposal addresses.	Goal 2: (Success) Implement Guided Pathways framework Goal 3: (Equity) Close all student equity gaps Goal 4: (Professional Development) Implement Professional Development around Guided Pathways and equity framework; foster a culture of ongoing improvement Goal 13: (Resources) Develop innovative and diversified resources to build and sustain a comprehensive college and achieve our visionary goals	
Who will benefit from this grant if funded and how? (College students, faculty, staff, administrators, high school students, other)	Norco College students, faculty, staff and administrators	

Does this grant require community or industry partners? If yes, list partner(s).	No			
Is there a dollar match requirement? If yes, provide details.	No match			
Is it necessary to seek approval from academic/student services departments to implement the proposed activities? If yes, have you obtained the necessary approvals?	Yes. Student Services has approved all activities.			
What information is needed from Institutional Research and Effectiveness to complete the proposal?	N/A			
If approved, who will develop the proposal?	Grant writing consultants, Drs. Tarrant and James, Colleen Molko			
Will it be necessary to hire grant consultants to prepare this proposal? If yes, provide a cost estimate.	Strategic Development utilized reinvestment \$18,000 in funding to pay grant writing consultants			
COMMITTEE APPROVAL				
Date:				
Approvals:	Co-Chair:			
	Co-Chair:			
]	ISPC APPROVAL			
Date:				
	Tri-Chair:			
Approvals:	Tri-Chair:			
	Tri-Chair:			

	Overview of Activity Goals, Strat	tegies and Objectives
Activity 1	Strategies	Guided Pathways
Activity Goal:	Strategy 1: Guided Academic	Objective 3 – Credits to Degree: By 2024,
Implement a Guided	Pathways; Meta-Majors; Degree,	there will be a 10% decrease in average credits
Pathways model	Transfer, and Career Maps;	taken to degree completion from 88 in 2018 to
with intuitive	Predictable Course Scheduling;	79 in 2024.
onboarding and	Website Development	Objective 6- Associate Completion: By 2024,
strong student	Strategy 2: Student Success Teams	there will be a 12% increase in the annual
support services to		number of Hispanic students earning an
improve persistence,		associate degree or certificate from 535 in
completion and		2018 to 600 in 2024.
transfer rates for		Objective 7 - Graduation Rates: By 2024,
Latinx and low-		there will be an 85% increase in the four-year
income students		graduation rates of Hispanic students from
		13.1% in 2018 to 24.3% in 2024.
		Objective 9 - Transfer: By 2024, there will be a
		165% increase in the four-year transfer rate of
		Hispanic students from 8.6% in 2018 to 22.8% in
		2024.
		Objective 10 – Articulation Agreements: By
		2024, 10 articulation agreements will be created,
		revised and implemented with local four-year
		institutions, especially HSIs. (Estimate)
Activity 2	Strategies	Equity and Access
Activity Goal:	Strategy 3: Transform the	Objective 1- Expand College Access: By
Improve college-	onboarding experience; improve the	2024, there will be a 35% increase in FTE
wide coordination	transition to the CC environment,	enrollment from 7,300 in 2018 to 9,900 FTE
of equity-related	and decrease timeline for OAC	students in 2024.
programs to	Completion: Orientation,	Objective 2 – Recruitment: By 2024, there
increase enrollment	Assessment, & Counseling	will be a 61% increase in number of FTFT
and access to	Strategy 4: Campus Wide	enrolled students from 508 in 2018 to 818 in
educational	Coordination of Equity-Related	2024
opportunities for	Programs; Increasing enrollment of	Objective 4 – Financial Literacy: By 2024,
Latinx and other	Hispanic, low-income, and other	there will be a 11% increase in percent of
underrepresented	disproportionately impacted (DI)	students receiving financial aid from 73% in
students	groups.	2018 to 81% in 2024.
	Strategy 5: Creating a Culture of	Objective 5 - Persistence: By 2024, there will
	Financial Literacy/Competence	be a 5% increase in the first- to second-year
		persistence rate of Hispanic students from
		68.4% in 2018 to 73.4% in 2024.
Activity 3	Strategies	Professional Development
Activity Goal:	Strategy 6: Professional Development	Objective 8 - Professional Development: By
Implement	Coordinator	2024, 150 faculty will be trained in equitable
professional	Strategy 7: Disaggregated Data and	advisement approaches and teaching practices
development	Training	to address the needs of Hispanic and low-
around Guided	Strategy 8: Culturally Responsive	income students over the 2018 baseline of 0
Pathways and an	Assessment Practices	faculty.
Equity framework	Strategy 9: Equity-based Pedagogy	
to foster a culture	Training and Support for All Faculty	
of ongoing	Strategy 10: Professional	
improvement.	Development-Four Pillars of Guided	
	Pathways	
	Strategy 11: Faculty Transfer	
	Academy: Developing Instructional	
	Faculty into Transfer Agents	